

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,914	06/15/2006	Christopher Speirs	DE030204US1	2543	
65913 NXP, B, V,			EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & LICENSING			LAM, VIN	LAM, VINH TANG	
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, C.	SAN JOSE, CA 95131		2629		
			[
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/559,914	SPEIRS ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	VINH T. LAM	2629	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 03/08/2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) x will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None Claim(s) rejected: 1-13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Note 11.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other:

/Amare Mengistu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Vinh T Lam/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629 Continuation of Note 11. Disagreement of the same reason(s) set forth in the last Office Action/Final Action.

Applicant argues that:

- (1) The 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd ¶ Rejection on the language "phase mixing" does not necessitated by the Applicant's Amendment. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because "phase mixing" is not clearly and precisely clarified in the claim. The Examiner must interprete it as best understood which may or may not mean as applicant intended. Therefore, the rejection deems proper.
- (2) The 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd ¶ Rejection, i.e. lack of antecedent basis, would create confusion in examination process. Amendment to clarify the lack of antecedent basis would be necessitated by the Applicant's Amendment which properly results in Final Action.
- (3) The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) Rejections present new reasonings. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because the Rejections are the same, the new reasonings were presented to further explain the Examiner's views and rebut applicant's arguments. The Final Action, therefore, deems proper.